UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,433	05/30/2000	ROBIN WALTER MILLS	MBM1420	9540
28213 DLA PIPER US	7590 06/13/2007 STIP		EXAMINER NEGRON, ISMAEL	
4365 EXECUT				
	SUITE 1100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2885	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		·	06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			TH
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.7
	09/509,433	MILLS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ismael Negron	2885	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a right of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	٠
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	2 April 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-38</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-38</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ :	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appropriate documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date April 2, 2007.		nformal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2885

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed on April 2, 2007 has been entered. Claim 32 has been amended. No claim has been cancelled, or added. Claims 32-38 are still pending in this application, with Claim 32 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MASAMI et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,729,076).

Application/Control Number: 09/509,433

Art Unit: 2885

4. MASAMI et al. discloses an illumination device having:

- one or more light emitting diodes (as recited in Claim 32),
Figure 4, reference number 1;

Page 3

- the light emitting diodes being for emitting radiation (as
 recited in Claim 32), inherent;
- one or more heat pipes (as recited in Claim 32), column 2, lines 30 and 31;
- the light emitting diodes being thermally connected to the heat pipe (as recited in Claim 32), column 2, lines 25-34;
- each of the light emitting diodes being proximate to one end of
 heat pipe (as recited in Claim 32), as evidenced by Figure 5;
- a unitary thermal connector (as recited in Claim 32), Figure 4, reference number 2;
- the unitary thermal connector directly connecting the light emitting diodes and the heat pipe (as recited in Claim 32), as evidenced by Figure 4;
- the heat pipe conducting heat away from the light emitting diodes (as recited in Claim 32), column 2, lines 25-34;
- a fan or Peltier device proximate to the heat pipe (as recited in Claim 33), column 2, lines 32 and 33;
- a heat sink (as recited in claims 34 and 35), Figure 4, reference number 4;

Application/Control Number: 09/509,433

Art Unit: 2885

Page 4

the heat sink being in thermal contact with the heat pipe (as recited in claims 34 and 35), column 2, lines 28-32;

- the heat pipe providing means for cooling the light emitting diodes such that the light emitting diodes are capable of being driven to produce more radiation than they would be capable of without the heat pipe (as recited in Claim 36), inherent;
- the light emitting diodes being a plurality of light emitting diodes (as recited in claims 37 and 38), as seen in Figure 4;
- the plurality of light emitting diodes being formed in one or more clusters (as recited in Claim 37), as seen in Figure 4; and
- the plurality of light emitting diodes being formed in one or more arrays (as recited in Claim 38), as seen in Figure 4.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed April 2, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Regarding the Examiner's rejection of Claim 32 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MASAMI et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,729,076), the applicant argues that the cited reference fails to disclose all the features of the claimed invention, specifically the one or more light emitting diodes being proximate one end of the one or more heat pipes, or

Art Unit: 2885

the thermal connector connecting the one or more LED and the one or more heat pipes being a unitary thermal connector.

- 7. Regarding the Examiner's rejection of claims 33-38 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MASAMI et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,729,076), the applicant present no arguments.
- 8. In response to applicant's arguments that MASAMI failed to disclose individually the one or more LED being proximate one end of the one or more heat pipes, the applicant is respectfully advised that while the claims of <u>issued</u> patents are interpreted in light of the specification, prosecution history, prior art and other claims, this is not the mode of claim interpretation to be applied during examination. During examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. *In re American Academy of Science Tech Center*, 70 USPQ2d 1827 (Fed. Cir. May 13, 2004).

In this case, MASAMI et al. discloses a plurality of LED 1 mounted on a circuit board 2 disposed on a board 3, such board including a plurality of heat sink 4. MASAMI et al. further states that the heat generated by the LED 1 is led to the heat sink 4 so that heat can be efficiently dissipated from the surface of the heat sink 4. A plurality of heat pipes 12 are used for thermally connecting the board 3 to the heat sink 4 when not integrally made. The space between the circuit board 2 and the board 3 is filled with a resin filler 6 and an electrically insulating sheet 5. One end of the heat pipe 12 is coupled to the board 3 and electrically insulating sheet 5, the other end of the heat pipe

Art Unit: 2885

12 being coupled to the heat sink 4 (as evidenced by Figure 5). The plurality of LED was considered to meet the "proximate to an end of the heat pipe" limitation as such plurality of LED 1 are indeed in close relationship (i.e. very near) with the heat pipe 12, as evidence by figures 4 and 5.

9. In response to applicant's arguments that MASAMI failed to disclose individually the thermal connector a unitary thermal connector, the applicant is advised that it has been held that the term "*integral*" is sufficiently broad to embrace construction means such as fastening and welding. *In re Hotte*, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973).

In this case, as previously detailed, MASAMI et al. discloses a plurality of LED 1 mounted on a circuit board 2 disposed on a board 3, such board including a plurality of heat sink 4. MASAMI et al. further states that the heat generated by the LED 1 is led to the heat sink 4 so that heat can be efficiently dissipated from the surface of the heat sink 4. A plurality of heat pipes 12 are used for thermally connecting the board 3 to the heat sink 4 when not integrally made. The space between the circuit board 2 and the board 3 is filled with a resin filler 6 and an electrically insulating sheet 5. The combination of the circuit board 2, the resin filler 6 and the insulating sheet 5 were considered as meeting the claimed thermal connector limitations, as such elements thermally connect the LED 100 to the board 3. In addition, such thermal connector was considered to be specifically a unitary thermal connector as the circuit board 2, resin filler 6 and the insulating sheet 5 are made into a since unitary body by means of resin filler 6, as seen in Figure 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/509,433

Art Unit: 2885

Conclusion

Page 7

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ismael Negron whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2376. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 6:00

P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jong-Suk (James) Lee, can be reached on (571) 272-7044. The facsimile

machine number for the Art Group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the 11.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications maybe obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, go to http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) toll-free at 866-217-9197.

/Ismael Negron/ Patent Examiner

AU 2885